

Appendix 3 Sampling Method, Estimation Method, and Sampling Errors of Estimates

1. Sampling method

The sampling method is a stratified two-stage sampling using the EDs (enumeration districts) of the Population Census as the first-stage sampling units and the dwelling units* as the second-stage sampling units.

* A dwelling unit is a structure or a part of a structure intended for habitation of a household, such as a detached house, an apartment, living quarter in a dormitory, hotels, hospitals, construction camps.

(1) Sampling of EDs (First-stage sampling)

For each region*, all EDs are classified into strata according to their characteristic** and in each stratum, the sample EDs are selected with the probability proportion to the weight (roughly the number of households in the ED divided by 15). The number of the sample EDs is about 2,900 every month.

The following EDs are excluded from the sampling.

- (a) Reformatory institutions
- (b) Areas resided exclusively by the personnel of the Self-Defence Forces
- (c) Areas resided exclusively by the personnel of foreign armed forces
- (d) Water districts

The data for the inmates of reformatory institutions and the personnel of the Self-Defence Forces are reported by the authorities in charge and are added to the tabulation, whereas in the “Detailed Tabulation”, those are excluded from the tabulation.

* Hokkaido, Tohoku, Southern-Kanto, “Northern-Kanto, Koshin”, Hokuriku, Tokai, Kinki, Chugoku, Shikoku, “Kyushu, Okinawa”

(note) Stratification and sampling are applied to 11 regions composed of Kyushu (excluding Okinawa), Okinawa and other 9 regions.

** Stratification is applied by the industrial and status composition of employed persons and other characteristics in each ED, based on the Population Census. The design of the sampling frame is revised every five years through several phases. The 2005 Census-based design was introduced from May 2008, and completed on August 2009.

(2) Sampling of dwelling units (Second-stage sampling)

For each sample ED, about 15 dwelling units are selected from all dwelling units in the ED by systematic sampling with a constant sampling interval.

All households living in the selected dwelling units, which are approximately 40,000 households in total, are surveyed.

(3) Sample rotation and structure of sub-samples

In order to improve accuracy of the estimation on both monthly and annual figures and their changes, the following sample rotation system is applied.

- (a) A sample ED remains in a sample for four consecutive months, leaves the sample for the following eight months, and joins the sample again for the same four months in the following year.
- (b) For each ED, two sets of dwelling units are selected. In the first year of enumeration for the sample ED, the households in the sample dwelling units in the first set are surveyed for the first two consecutive months, and then replaced by the households in the dwelling units of the other set. In the second year, the dwelling units of the first set enter the sample again, and are replaced by those of the other set in the same way as in the first year.
- (c) Under this system, one fourth of the sample EDs and half of the sample households are replaced every month. Three fourths of sample EDs are common from month to month and a half from year to year.

In this system, the whole sample is divided into the following eight sub-samples, each of which forms an independent random sample of the universe. These sub-samples are used in calculation of sampling errors.

The eight sub-samples are represented by A1, A2, B1, B2, C1, C2, D1 and D2.

- A i EDs beginning in January, May and September
- B i EDs beginning in February, June and October
- C i EDs beginning in March, July and November
- D i EDs beginning in April, August and December
- $i = 1$ EDs of the first year
- $i = 2$ EDs of the second year

Two sets of dwelling units (set of A2 and C2 or set of B2 and D2, corresponding to the second month in second year) are surveyed of the Special Questionnaire.

2. Estimation Method

(1) Outline of the estimation (Basic Tabulation)

The estimation method for the monthly figures of whole Japan is the ratio estimation with a benchmark of the population by age (15 age groups*), sex and area (2 areas: metropolitan area consisting of seven largest cities**, and the rest of the country) estimated from the Population Estimates based Population Census (refer to (3)).

* A change in the method of estimation by 15 age groups (15-19, ..., 80-84, 85-) used in the Labour Force Survey was introduced in 2007.

** Ku-area of Tokyo, Yokohama-shi, Nagoya-shi, Kyoto-shi, Osaka-shi, Kobe-shi and Kitakyushu-shi

The basic formula of the estimation is as follows.

[Ex. the case for employed person]

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ratio estimate of employed person} \\ &= \text{Benchmark population} \\ & \times \frac{\text{Linear estimate of employed person}}{\text{Linear estimate of total population}} \end{aligned}$$

(note) A linear estimation is to estimate the universe by multiplying the enumerated population by the reciprocal of the sampling ratio.

The quarterly and annual averages are the arithmetic mean of the monthly figures.

(2) Procedure of the estimation (Basic Tabulation)

The estimate of the population having characteristics X is obtained by summing the ratio estimates \tilde{X} s for the sets of sex, age and area concerned.

\tilde{X} for a set of sex, age and area is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{X} &= \sum_{i=1}^L \frac{1}{m_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \frac{\omega_i}{\omega_{ij}} \cdot f_{ij} \cdot x_{ij} \frac{P}{\sum_{i=1}^L \frac{1}{m_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \omega_i \cdot f_{ij} \cdot P_{ij}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} x_{ij} \cdot F_i \frac{P}{\sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} P_{ij} \cdot F_i} \end{aligned}$$

i (=1, 2, ..., L) : each section by region and stratum

j (=1, 2, ..., m_i) : each sample ED in each section

x_{ij} : Enumerated population (for the sex and

age concerned) with characteristic X in j -th sample ED in i -th section

ω_{ij} : Weight for j -th sample ED in i -th section

f_{ij} : Reciprocal of the sampling ratio of dwelling units within j -th sample ED in i -th section (= ω_{ij})

ω_i : Total of the weights for all the EDs in i -th section

m_i : Number of the sample EDs in i -th section

$F_i (= \omega_i / m_i)$: Multiplier for linear estimation for i -th section

P : Benchmark population (for the set of sex, age and area concerned)

P_{ij} : Enumerated population (for the sex and age concerned) in j -th sample ED in i -th section

$\frac{P}{\sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} P_{ij} \cdot F_i}$: Multiplier for ratio estimation (for the set of sex, age and area concerned)

The estimation method for the 10 regions is a parallel to that for the whole Japan.

For each item, adjustment is made so that the figures for 10 regions add up to the figure for the whole Japan.

(3) Benchmark population and its revision

“Population Estimates” released by the Statistics Bureau are used as the benchmark population for calculating the survey results.

These population estimates are calculated by using the Population Census counts as the base and by adding the other monthly data on population changes after the Census*.

Using the latest results of the Population Census as the base, the Population Estimates are revised every five years according to the release of new results of the Census conducted quinquennially. Therefore, the benchmark population for calculating the results of the Labour Force Survey revised every five years.

* A change in the method of computing the Japanese number of emigrants and immigrants using “the number beyond overseas length-of-stay 91 day” since January 2007.

The benchmark population for the estimation was revised to the 2005 Census-based population estimates in January 2007 for Basic Tabulation, and in Jan.-Mar. 2007 for Detailed Tabulation.

Reference Tab.1-1

Difference in level of the benchmark revisions
(Basic Tabulation)

	(10 thousands, point)				
	Population of 15 years old or more	Employed person	Unemployed person	Not in labour force	Unemployment rate
1982 (1981 Yearly Average Results) (benchmark: 1980 Census)	-4	-3	0	-1	0.0
1987 (1986 Yearly Average Results) (benchmark: 1985 Census)	+7	+4	0	+3	0.0
1992 (1991 Yearly Average Results) (benchmark: 1990 Census)	-11	-7	0	-4	0.0
1997 (1996 Yearly Average Results) (benchmark: 1995 Census)	+28	+17	+1	+10	0.0
2002 (2001 Yearly Average Results) (benchmark: 2000 Census)	-6	-4	0	-2	0.0
2007 (2006 Yearly Average Results) (benchmark: 2005 Census)	+6	-6	-1	+13	0.0

(4) Estimation method for “Detailed Tabulation”

The quarterly and annual averages are the arithmetic mean of the monthly figures.

The estimation method for the monthly figures of whole Japan adopt the ratio estimation with the benchmark of population by age (5 age groups), sex and labour force status (Employed person, Unemployed person and Not in labour force) which estimated in Basic Tabulation.

The basic formula of the estimation is as follows.

[Ex. the case for employed person on column A in the Special Questionnaire]

Estimate of column A in the Special Questionnaire

= Linear estimate of column A in the Special Questionnaire

$$\times \frac{\text{Employed person which estimated in Basic Tabulation}}{\text{Employed person which estimated in Detailed Tabulation}}$$

Multiplier for linear estimation in Detailed Tabulation is same as Basic Tabulation.

3. Sampling error for “Basic Tabulation”

The magnitude of sampling error varies by the size of estimates, the kind of item and the reference period. Standard errors for estimates which are calculated by using the sub-samples are shown in the following tables.

The inmates of reformatory institutions and the personnel of the Self-Defence Forces are excluded from the calculation.

(1) Standard error for the whole Japan by size of estimates (Basic Tabulation)

Tab. 1 Standard error for annual average for the 2011 whole Japan (Not including Iwate, Miyagi and Fukushima)

Estimates (10 thousand persons)	Standard error (10 thousand persons)	Relative standard error (%)
5000	12.7	0.3
2000	7.9	0.4
1000	5.6	0.6
500	3.9	0.8
200	2.4	1.2
100	1.7	1.7
50	1.2	2.4
20	0.8	3.8
10	0.5	5.3

The formula used in calculating the relative standard error for annual average of estimates is as follows:

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{8(8-1)} \sum_{i=1}^8 (\bar{X}_i - \bar{X})^2} / \bar{X}$$

\bar{X}_i and \bar{X} respectively refer to the annual average of estimates with characteristic X in the *i*-th sub-sample (*i*=1, 2, …, 8) and whole sample.

Tab. 2 Standard error for monthly results for the 2011 whole Japan (Not including Iwate, Miyagi and Fukushima)

Estimates (10 thousand persons)	Standard error (10 thousand persons)	Relative standard error (%)
5000	26.2	0.5
2000	16.7	0.8
1000	11.9	1.2
500	8.5	1.7
200	5.4	2.7
100	3.9	3.9
50	2.8	5.5
20	1.8	8.8
10	1.3	12.6

The formula used in calculating the relative standard error for monthly estimate is as follows:

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{8(8-1)} \sum_{i=1}^8 (\tilde{X}_i - \tilde{X})^2} / \tilde{X}$$

\tilde{X}_i and \tilde{X} respectively refer to the estimates with characteristic X in the *i*-th sub-sample (*i*=1, 2, …, 8) and whole sample.

(2) Standard error for the whole Japan (Basic Tabulation)

Tab. 3 Standard error for major items for annual average (2011 annual average)

Item	Estimates	Standard error	Relative standard error (%)
	(10 thousand persons)		
Labour force	6253	5	0.1
Employed person	5969	5	0.1
Self-employed worker	535	4	0.8
Family worker	174	4	2.2
Employee	5236	9	0.2
Unemployed person	284	2	0.8
Not in labour force	4281	5	0.1
Agriculture and forestry	207	4	1.7
Construction	473	5	1.0
Manufacturing	997	6	0.6
Information and communications	185	3	1.8
Transport and postal activities	334	4	1.3
Wholesale and retail trade	1006	7	0.7
Finance and insurance	155	3	1.8
Real estate and goods rental and leasing	108	3	2.4
Scientific research, professional and technical services	199	4	2.2
Accommodations, eating and drinking services	365	6	1.6
Living-related and personal services and amusement services	231	3	1.5
Education, learning support	280	4	1.4
Medical, health care and welfare	648	6	1.0
Services, N.E.C.	435	4	1.0

Note) 1. 2011 annual average results do not include Iwate, Miyagi and Fukushima.

2. Figures on the Tab.3 do not include the inmates of reformatory institutions and the personnel of the Self-Defence Forces.

Reference tab. 2 Unknown and Unclassifiable for major items (Basic Tabulation)

(10 thousand persons)						
	Labour force status	Hours of work	Status in employment	Number of persons engaged in enterprise	Industry	Occupation
2011 annual average	3	31	24	42	55	53

Note) 2011 annual average results do not include Iwate, Miyagi and Fukushima.

4. Sampling error for "Detailed Tabulation"

The magnitude of sampling error varies by the size of estimates, the kind of item and the reference period. Standard errors for estimates are shown in the following tables.

Tab. 4 Standard error for annual average for the 2011 whole Japan (Not including Iwate, Miyagi and Fukushima)(Detailed Tabulation)

Estimates (10 thousand persons)	Standard error (10 thousand persons)	Relative standard error (%)
5000	20.1	0.4
2000	12.1	0.6
1000	8.3	0.8
500	5.6	1.1
200	3.4	1.7
100	2.3	2.3
50	1.6	3.2
20	1.0	4.8
10	0.6	6.5

Tab. 5 Standard error for quarter average for the 2011 whole Japan (Not including Iwate, Miyagi and Fukushima)(Detailed Tabulation)

Estimates (10 thousand persons)	Standard error (10 thousand persons)	Relative standard error (%)
5000	40.6	0.8
2000	24.4	1.2
1000	16.6	1.7
500	11.3	2.3
200	6.7	3.4
100	4.6	4.6
50	3.1	6.2
20	1.9	9.3
10	1.3	12.7

5. Seasonal adjustment methods

- (1) The seasonal adjustment procedure for the labour force series is the U.S. Census Method (X12-ARIMA (X-11 Default)) programme with the control limit for extremes set at $9.8\sigma \sim 9.9\sigma$, and using standard options for others.
- (2) The seasonal adjustment are calculated on each series independently.
- (3) The seasonally adjusted figures released monthly are calculated by using the predicted seasonal factors, which are estimated through seasonal adjustments of the data up to December of the previous year. On the release of January results, the seasonal adjustments are computed retroactively by adding new data for twelve months of the previous year.* Due to the Great East Japan Earthquake, results for whole Japan are missing from March through August 2011. So "alternative whole Japan figures"(not released), calculated from data excluding three prefectures(Iwate, Miyagi and Fukushima),are inputted for seasonal adjustment.

* Retroactive computing conducted using the most recent 29 years of original data to produce a final seasonally adjusted estimate, figures are revised the historical seasonally adjusted data for the most recent 10 years.